



ICRC Study Hall Call: Participant Direction in Integrated Care Programs

July 29, 2014
3:00-4:00 PM Eastern

Participants

Michelle Herman Soper
Senior Program Officer
Center for Health Care Strategies

Suzanne Crisp
Director of Program Design and Implementation
National Resource Center for Participant-Directed Services

Adam Burrows, MD
Senior Medical Director
Senior Care Options Program
Commonwealth Care Alliance

Jan Levinson
Senior Vice President
Senior Care Options Program
Commonwealth Care Alliance

Agenda

- I. Welcome, Introductions, and Roll Call
- II. Integrated Care Models: How is Participant Direction Faring Nationally?
- III. Participant Direction in Massachusetts' Fully Integrated Care Models
- IV. Questions and Discussion
- V. Concluding Remarks

Integrated Care Models

How is Participant Direction Faring?

• • •

ICRC Study Hall

July 29, 2014

Participant Direction (also Known as Self-Direction and Consumer-Direction)

- ❑ Individuals control what services are provided, who provides services, when those services are provided, & how those services are provided – *Employer Authority*
- ❑ May manage an individual budget used to purchase goods & services to increase independence – *Budget Authority*
- ❑ Requires support services:
 - ❑ Information & Assistance
 - ❑ Financial Management Services

Prevalence Of PD

- ❑ Currently, 838,065 individuals PD
- ❑ Represents a 9% increase from 2010 to 2013
- ❑ Majority of programs have 1,000 to 5,000 participants
- ❑ Currently, 28 states have some form of managed care
- ❑ Most have adopted participant direction
- ❑ In 2013, almost 80,000 individuals participated in managed care and PD

Managed Care Entities (MCEs)

- ❑ Includes:
 - ❑ Managed Care Organizations (MCOs)
 - ❑ Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs)
 - ❑ Primary Care Case Management (PCCM)
 - ❑ Pre-Paid Health Plan (PPHP)
 - ❑ For-profit or not-for-profit organizations
 - ❑ Capitated rate or fee-for-service arrangement

Selected Provisions from Integrated Care RFPs and Contracts: Participant Direction

- ❑ Funded by Integrated Care Resource Center
- ❑ ICRC – A TA project of CMS Medicare-Medicare Coordination Office and Coordinated by Mathematica and Center for Health Care Strategies (CHCS)
- ❑ Qualitative Case Study
- ❑ Twelve State Study of MCEs: AZ, FL, HA, KS, MA, MI, MN, NM, TN, TX, WA, & WI
- ❑ Medicare-Medicaid Coordination Office's Financial Alignment Initiative Memorandums of Understanding – CA, IL, MA, NY, OH, SC, VA, & WA

The Toolkit

- ❑ Purpose: Highlight considerations to develop RFPs, contracts between states and managed care entities (MCEs), and MOUs for Financial Alignment Initiative specific to PD
- ❑ Methodology – Examined:
 - ❑ Contracts between MCEs and State Medicaid Agencies
 - ❑ Policy and Procedures of the State and MCE
 - ❑ MOUs executed between State and CMS

Summary of MCE Findings

...

Defining PD

- ❑ All provide assistance with Activities of Daily Living and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living.
- ❑ All include personal care, personal assistance services, respite, companion, homemaker and chore services.
- ❑ A few programs (AZ and TX) allow skilled services and/or therapies.

Service Coordination

- ❑ A key function to support PD.
- ❑ Generally, training provided by MCE staff
 - ❑ Many MCE solicit assistance from Aging and Disability Resource Centers; one subcontracts activity.
- ❑ A few States require verification that training has occurred.
- ❑ Service Coordinators introduce the PD option to members.
- ❑ In most cases, service coordinators continue to support those selecting the PD option on a continuous basis.

Training

❑ Participant

- ❑ Orientation required – provided by the Service Coordinator.
- ❑ A few went farther – how to be an employer and manage direct service workers.
- ❑ TN added – how to:
 - be an employer
 - evaluate performance
 - identify and reporting fraud and abuse

❑ Direct Service Worker

- ❑ Available in all programs; mandatory in half.
- ❑ TN delegates training to the Financial Management Services contractor.

Financial Management Services (FMS)

- ❑ State requires MCE to provide in all programs.
- ❑ Typically, MCE subcontracts.
- ❑ Methods to secure:
 - ❑ State delegates authority to each MCE to select and execute a contract.
 - ❑ State requires all MCEs to use the State selected FMS.
 - ❑ FMS is secured through a contractual relationship between the State, the MCE and the FMS.

Individual Budgets

- ❑ Only four programs allowed for individual budgets.
- ❑ One omitted budget authority during transition from fee-for-service to managed care.
- ❑ Contracts specify goods and services that may be acquired or purchased.
- ❑ Methodology to calculate the budget's dollar amount is specified in contract.

Quality Assurance & Improvement

- ❑ All MCEs are required to submit a formal QA&I plans prior to implementation.
- ❑ PD is offered within the broader scope of the QA & I system.
- ❑ Some specific measures include:
 - ❑ Number of members electing PD
 - ❑ Survey of the member experience with the FMS
 - ❑ General satisfaction with the option
 - ❑ Clinical experiences
- ❑ Missing – Individual Outcomes.

Study Findings on Financial Alignment Initiative



Financial Alignment Initiative State Review

- ❑ All programs offer PD as an option.
- ❑ Care coordinators must be trained in PD.
- ❑ Four programs specify the use of FMS.
- ❑ All states but one include as a core quality measure — “the % of care coordinators that have undergone State-based training for supporting self-direction under the demonstration.”

Additional Requirements

❑ New York:

- ❑ Percent of plan participants directing their own services through the PD option each demonstration year.
- ❑ Plan to score: 1) education of PD individuals; 2) monitoring of education; 3) evaluation of PD services; and 4) monitoring/evaluation of % of members using option.

❑ South Carolina:

- ❑ Plans must oversee PD by providing:
 - Incorporation of PD in care plans
 - Capacity to assess PD
 - Ability to interface with training provider
 - Ability to promptly pay attendants

❑ Virginia:

- ❑ Plans must conduct training of FMS staff
- ❑ Plans must report monthly on PD enrollee activity



NATIONAL RESOURCE CENTER *for*
PARTICIPANT-DIRECTED SERVICES

Selected Participant Direction Provisions from Medicaid Managed LTSS Programs and Financial Alignment Demonstrations

<http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.net/rfponlinetoolkit.aspx>

suzanne.crisp@bc.edu

info@participantdirection.org

www.participantdirection.org

Participant Direction in Fully Integrated Care Models

Adam Burrows

Jan Levinson

Senior Care Options (SCO)

Commonwealth Care Alliance

Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE)

Upham's Corner Health Center

Why do we embrace participant direction?

- Philosophy
 - Honors individual autonomy/control
 - Grounds us in person-centered care
 - Cultural and linguistic competency
 - Allocates resources to households & families
 - Supports continuity in care
- Practicality
 - Workforce availability
 - Workforce flexibility
 - Market expectations

CCA SCO Background

- Massachusetts model for Dually Eligible and MassHealth only adults 65+
- FIDESNP & Medicaid MCO
- Started 2004
- 6000+ members statewide (33K+ total SCO members statewide)
- > 75% Community NHC
- ~1/3 members in Western MA
- High penetration of PCA

The Challenge

- How do we integrate participant direction into a fully-integrated, team-based model of managed care?
- Organization/Team
 - Responsible for comprehensive assessment
 - Responsible for developing individualized care plan w/ member input
 - **Accountable for fulfillment of care plan**
 - *Direct care is the key element in community-based long-term supports & services*

The Solution

- Create a hybrid that offers the best features of participant-directed and agency approaches to direct care

Hybrid Model

Self-Direction: Consumer Role/Responsibilities

- Identifies direct care worker(s)
- Informs and approves individualized care plan
- Retains control over hiring/firing
- Directs worker
- Elects/declines PCA training & education opportunities
- Elects/declines PCA communication w/ team

Agency: Team Role/Responsibilities

- Assess ability of consumer to direct care
- Provide support if needed
- Refer to outside agency for surrogate if needed (*surrogate pilot program*)
- Assess function/care needs
- Develop care plan with member
- Monitor implementation of care plan
- Complete Individualized Preference Plan (*IPP pilot program*)
- Offer training/education
- Offer communication w/ team

PACE Background

- 104 PACE Organizations nationally
- 31 States
- 32K+ participants
- Exclusively for NHC
- All-inclusive, Full risk
- PACE Center & Team
- 8 PACE Organizations in MA

PACE – Personal Care Services

Unique Features

- Prescriptive regulatory structure
- Personnel requirements
 - Health & safety screening
 - Criminal background check
 - Competency evaluation
 - Supervision
- PACE IDT
 - Assessment & care plans
 - Authorization

Upham's Corner Solutions

- *Self-selected* personal care option
- PACE hires personal care worker
- PACE assesses competencies
- PACE provides training, orientation, supervision
- Participant & team *co-direct* worker

Questions & Discussion

About ICRC

- Established by CMS to advance integrated care models for Medicare-Medicaid enrollees and other Medicaid beneficiaries with high costs and high needs
- ICRC provides technical assistance (TA) to states, coordinated by Mathematica Policy Research and the Center for Health Care Strategies
- Visit <http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com> to submit a TA request and/or download resources, including briefs and practical tools to help address implementation, design, and policy challenges
- Send additional questions to: integratedcareresourcecenter@cms.hhs.gov